

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,781	10/30/2006	Warrick J. Smith	E8280.0045/P045	8244
24998 7590 06/12/2009 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP			EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STE		TREYGER, ILYA Y		
Washington, D	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/544,781	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ILYA Y. TREYGER	3761	
	10/544,781 Examiner	10/544,781 SMITH ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

ILYA Y. TREYGER	3761					
ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
·						
owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
	be entered and an e	xplanation of				
vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
DTO/OD/OD D						
PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Ilya Y Treyger/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761						
	ars on the cover sheet with the of LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavial (with appeal fee) in compliance: FR 1.114. The reply must be filed of the final rejection, divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE b), on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount notrened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date in the period of the first period set forth in 37 that the period set fo	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence add LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The same day as fling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar explies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, we all (with appeal fee) in compiliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31: or the final rejection, whiter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whiter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whiter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whiter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriateness that the petition under 37 CFR 1.134(a) and the appropriateness that the remaining date of the final rejection, explain the final official than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, explain the final official than the final official tha				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claim 1, Applicants argue that an important feature of the claimed invention is that air flows downwards within the booth from the first plenum.

However, claim 1 does not require the air flows downwards within the booth from the first plenum.

Applicants further argue that a base portion A forming a plenum C can not be considered to be a plenum because the exhaust port 48 of Parker may be situated beneath a grated floor.

However, "may be situated" does not mean necessarily situated. Further, in accordance with the definition, the plenum is a space completely filled with matter, and therefore the disposition of the exhaust port does not preclude the plenum from being the space filled with matter.

Applicants further argue that a base portion A can not be considered as a plenum because there is no mention or suggestion in Parker that this underfloor region is maintained at a pressure below ambient pressure.

However, Parker does not disclose the exhaust necessarily positioned in the underfloor region. Further, water flowing into the plenum necessarily forces the air out of the space, and therefore the negative pressure in the plenum has been present.